MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

1.0 GENERAL

SN	GENERAL Particulars	Details
1	Name of inspecting office &	Suresh Prasad, Dy Controller of Mines
	designation	
2	Date of inspection	15.12.2016
3	Name of the Mine	Patharia Lime Stone Mines
4	Total Lease Area (Ha) with	2.16 ha.
	breakup of Non-forest and forest	
	land	
5	Mine Code	
		38MPR13027
6	IBM Registration Number under	IBM/10030/2012
	rule 45 of MCDR, 1988	
7	Name of the lessee, Address,	A.K.Verma, MIG-11 Padmanabhpur, Durg
	phone, email and fax number	
8	Village	Durg (C.G.)
8	Taluka / Mandal / District	Durg
10	State	C.G.
11	Post office & PIN	491001
12	Nearest police station	Durg
13	Nearest Railway station	Durg
14	Date of Grant of Mining Lease	02.04.1994
15	Date of Execution	02.04.1994
16	Date of opening of Mine	02.04.1994
17	Date of first Renewal, if	21.03.2013
	applicable and its period &	
	expiry	
18	Date of second Renewal, if	-
	applicable and its period &	
	expiry	
19	Date of submission of renewal	-
	application if Mining Operations	
	are continuing under deemed	
	extension	
20	Name of the Nominated Owner	
	with Address, phone, email, fax	Mine Owner, Shri A.K.Verma, MIG-11 Padmanabhpur,
	number and date of appointment	Durg(C.G.)
21	Name of the Mine Agent with	
	Address, phone, email, fax	
	number and date of appointment	NA
22	Name of the Mines Manager	Shri Vikeshwar Thakur, C/o Patharia Lime Stone Mines
	with Address, phone, email, fax	Mines Owner A.K.Verma
	number and date of appointment	
	in mines	

23	Name of the Mining Engineer,	
	Qualification and total	B Tech In Mining Engineering
	experience with Address, phone,	
	email, fax number and date of	
	appointment in mine	
24	Whether Geologist and Mining	No
	Engineer appointed in mines	
	satisfy the rule 55 & carrying	
	out their duties as per rule 56 &	
	57.	
25	Date of Approval of Mining	09.08.2016
	Plan/Modified Mining Plan with	
	five-year period and specific	
	condition in approval letter, if	
	any.	
26	Date of Approval of Scheme of	-
	Mining/Modified Scheme of	
	Mining with five-year period	
	and specific condition in	
	approval letter, if any.	
27	Mineral(s) granted in lease and	Lime Stone
	proved for mining	
28	Method of Mining(Opencast,	Open Cast
	Underground)	
29	Category (Fully Mechanised,	В
	Others or Manual)	
30	Captive/Non Captive	Non Captive

Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposals of approved mining plan/scheme of mining. – **<u>1.0 Exploration</u>**

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	One DTH hole	Nil	Proposed one
				DTH bore hole
				in pit floor
				centrally of 20
				meter depth.
1b	Exploration over lease area for	Nil	Almost lease	
	Geological axis 1 or 2.		area in exposed	
			due to mining	
			pit	
1c	Exploration Agency &	Not mentioned	NA	
	Expenditure in lakh Rupees			
	during the year			
1d	Balance area to be explored to	NA	NA	
	bring Geological axis in 1 or 2			
1e	Balance reserves as on		359700 MT	
	01.04.2017			
1f	General remarks of inspecting	The geology is simple	stratified flat depos	it and almost
	officer on geology, exploration	lease area in exposed of	due to mining pit	
	etc.			

2.0 Development

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t.			
	lease area (Range in	Southern Side to	Southern Side to	
	UTM/Local Coordinate/Plot	Northern Side	Northern Side	
	No./Location)			
2b	Separate benches in topsoil,		1 OB	Working
	overburden and mineral (Rule	3 Benches	2 Limestone	proposed in
	13)			third bench
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB	1:0.05		
	ratio			
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation	Nil	Nil	
	in m3			
2e	Quantity of overburden	Nil	Nil	
	generation in m3			
2f	General remarks of inspecting		proadly as per proposal an	
	officer on development of pit	and achievement of production is less than proposal.		
	w.r.t. type of deposit etc.			

3.0 Exploitation

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pits proposed for production	1	1	
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	9000 MT	3400 MT	
3c	Recovery of salable/usable mineral from ROM production	95%		
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	440 cum	-	
3e	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	Cement	Cement	
3f	Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation	Nil	Nil	
3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral generation	NA	NA	
3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Manual	Manual	
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject	No such proposal	NA	
3ј	Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches	Jack Hammer Drill Machine(34mm/33m m)	Jack Hammer Drill Machine	

3k	Provision of mining			
UII	machineries in mineral			
	benches			
31	Whether height of benches in	Top Soil 3m	Top Soil 3m	
51	overburden and mineral	L/s - 3m to $6m$	L/s - 3m to 6m	
	suitable for method of mining	Lis Shi to ohi		
	proposed in MP/SOM			
3m	Total area covered	1.13	1.13	
2.111	under excavation/pits	1110		
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the	1:0.05		
0 II	pit/mine during the year	110100		
30	Total area put in use under	Pit- 1.3	Pit- 1.3	
	different heads at the end of	Waste/reject 0.20	Waste/reject 0.20	
	year	Others- 0.10	Others- 0.10	
3p	Production of ROM mineral	Yr- 2012-13: 18900	Yr- 2012-13: 5650	
-	during last five-year period, as	Metric Tonnes	Metric Tonnes	
	applicable	Yr- 2013-14: 20250	Yr- 2013-14: 3400	
		Metric Tonnes	Metric Tonnes	
		Yr- 2014-15: 6550	Yr- 2014-15: 6550	
		Metric Tonnes	Metric Tonnes	
		Yr- 2015-16: 3400 Me	Yr- 2015-16: 3400	
		Tonnes	Metric Tonnes	
		Yr- 2016-17: 9000	Yr- 2016-17: 7600	
		Metric Tonnes	Metric Tonnes	
3q	General remarks of inspecting	The method of mining	is proposed open cast method by	
	officer on method of mining	manual mean and during mine visit it was observed that		
	etc.	mine is not working and reported that due to not having		
		environment clearance	production stopped.	

4.0 Solid Waste Management-Dumping

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 36, 37)	The is no major waste generation therefore waste mixed with soil proposed to be dump in 7.5 meter barrier Eastern side of ML	Broadly as per proposal	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps (Range in UTM/Local Coordinate/Plot No./Location)	No major dump proposed	NA	
4c	Number of dumps within lease area and outside lease area	No major dump proposed	No major dump observed	
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 14)	NA	NA	
4e	Number of active & alive dumps	Nil	Nil	
4f	Number of dead dumps	Nil	Nil	
4g	Number of dumps stabilised	Nil	Nil	

4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there	No such proposal	NA	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump	NA	NA	
4j	Number of settling ponds	No Ponds	No Ponds	
4k	Specific comments of inspecting officer	There is no major dump observed therefore no question of waste dump management.		

5.0 Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status on part or full extraction	NA	NA	
	of mineral from mined out area			
	before starting backfilling			
5b	Area under backfilling of	Nil	Nil	
	mined out area			
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for	Nil	Nil	
	restoration or rehabilitation of			
	mined out area (Rule 36)			
5d	Total area fully reclaimed &	Nil	Nil	
	rehabilitated			
5e	General remarks of inspecting	The mine working is up	to depth of 10-15 meter	and mineral
	officer on backfilling,	is still not exhausted there no backfilling is done.		
	reclamation etc			

6.0 Progressive Mine Closure Plan

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly - Rule 23. Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20.	NA	NA	
6b	Management of worked/mined out benches i) Area available for rehabilitation (ha) ii) Afforestation done (ha) iii) No. of saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no. of plants v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year	NA	NA	

6c	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L X B X D) ii) Void filled by waste/tailings iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir v) Any other specific means	NA	NA
6d	Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Methof of rehabilitation	-afforestation 180 nos	As per proposal
6e	Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)	NA	NA
6f	General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive	PMCP broadly as per pro	posal.

7.0 Mineral Conservation

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area	ROM dispatched	As per proposal	
7b	Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical	NA	NA	
7c	Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines	NA	NA	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	NA	NA	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues	The ROM produce is total is negligible.	lly dispatched and waste	generation

8.0 Environment

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 36)	No top soil generation	Negligible	
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	NA	NA	

8c 8d	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 37)	NA	No major dump observed
80	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	NA	NA
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	NA	NA
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 44)	NA	NA
8g	Survival rate	Not mentioned specifically	Reported 70%
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	There is no such proposal	NA
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines are	The production of mine is very less and no machineries used therefore no adverse impact observed.	

9.0 Compliance of Rule 45

200				
S.	Item	COMMENTS	Remarks	
N.				
	Status of submission of	M.R. Submitted		
	Monthly and Annual	A.R. submitted		
	returns			

S. N.	Item	Details GIVEN in A.R.	Observation of I/Officer	Remarks
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	No information	No Mining Engineer & geologist observed as reported.	Mine is not working due to not having environment clearance.
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.	Yes given	0.8 ha. for o/c working,0.2 ha. for waste disposal,0.1 ha. for infrastructure	
9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Nil		
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity)	Nil		
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return	Nil		

	on ROM stock and/or graded ore		
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost	Ex-mine price-Rs 295/-,Cost of production Rs 252.9/-	
9i	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	Nil	
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	No major mine machineries used	

10- Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out:- last inspection violation of Rules 12(3), 13(1), 23F, 45(5) & 45(7) pointed and violation complied.

Date of Last Inspection,

Details of the Violations Pointed-out during Inspections: Rule 42(1)(c) (ii) & 45(7) found.

Sd/-

(Suresh Prasad),

Dy Controller of Mines, Dt 15.12.2016

(Name of the Inspecting Officer and Designation and Date)